1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 MICHAEL J. BRODHEIM, 10 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-02-0573 FCD PAN P 11 VS. MICHAEL CRY, et al., 12 13 Defendants. **ORDER** 14 15 On May 19, 2006, plaintiff filed a document styled as objections to the magistrate 16 judge's order filed May 5, 2006, granting defendants an extension of time to file a motion for 17 summary judgment. Good cause appearing, the court construes this document as a request for 18 reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a 19 magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon 20 review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling 21 was clearly erroneous or contrary to law. 22 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the 23 magistrate judge filed May 5, 2006, is affirmed. 24 DATED:May 31, 2006 25 /s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. FRANK C. DAMRELL JR. 26 United States District Judge

Case 2:02-cv-00573-FCD-KJN Document 125 Filed 06/01/06 Page 2 of 2